

10/30/2024  
Town of Parker  
Engineering Department  
20120 East Mainstreet  
Parker, CO 80138

**RE: Mister Car Wash – Parker Pointe Subdivision – Parker, CO**

Introduction

This drainage conformance letter is for the proposed Mister Car Wash (MCW) pad development on Lot 13 of the Parker Pointe overall development in Parker, Colorado. The objective is to show that the proposed drainage design for the site conforms with the “*Final Drainage Report – Parker Pointe*” (Overall Report) prepared by Perception Design. Excerpts from the Overall Report are included in Appendix E of this report.

The proposed MCW development site is 1.35 acres. The existing site is overlot graded sloping from west to east with an existing storm sewer inlet provided from the storm main in the private drive to the east. The proposed improvements include a 5,440 sf car wash facility, parking areas, drive aisles, pos canopy, attendant hut, landscaping and sidewalks. Runoff from the building roof will be collected in roof drains and rounded via underground storm sewer. Ground runoff will be collected by an on-site storm sewer system which will connect to the existing storm system. Drainage for the Mister Car Wash site is consistent and meets the assumptions of the Overall Report. During development of the overall site, a storm sewer stub was provided to convey developed runoff to the extended detention basin at the southeast corner of the overall site that provides both detention and water quality facilities.

Drainage Narrative

Per the Overall Report, the car wash site is the Overall Report Basin L13 and a portion of Basin L14, which were both 0.73 acres and assumed to have an imperviousness of 95% for both basins. The expected runoff for the MCW site, per the overall report for each basin, is 2.78 cfs and 5.69 cfs in the 5- and 100-yr events, respectively.

The proposed MCW development is 1.35 acres and has an imperviousness of 73.7%. Runoff flows generated by the site are 2.56 cfs in the 5-year storm and 5.38 cfs in the 100-year storm.

A curb inlet in the shared drive to the east with a storm stub was provided at the southeast end of the carwash site for connection to onsite storm system. Due to the location of the proposed MCW site entrance that existing inlet is proposed to be replaced with a manhole and a new curb inlet is proposed north of the site entrance. Since the existing inlet was an on-grade inlet, relocating it slightly will have no adverse impact on the overall development.

On the MCW site, a proposed storm sewer system will collect onsite flows and convey them to proposed connection to existing location. The existing storm conveys the flows to the Water Quality and Detention Pond southeast of the MCW site that is designed to accommodate the majority of the Parker Pointe Development (see Appendix E). Ultimately pond outflows discharge to Kinney Creek.

NRCS Web Soil survey results indicated the site’s native soils are Hydrologic Group A. A copy of the WSS is included in Appendix C.

Drainage Analysis & Details

The proposed MCW drainage plan includes three (5) onsite basins as described below. Please refer to Appendix D for a copy of the Drainage Map, and Appendix A for hydrologic calculations.

- Basin MCW-1 (0.18 ac, 7.66% impervious) –The landscaped area west of the proposed car wash building will continue following existing drainage patterns and flow offsite to Parker Rd.



The site is not able to be lowered enough to capture these flows as it is constrained by storm cover and an existing Magellan gas line on the west side of the site. This conforms with the Overall Report, which describes this constrained condition for their Basins L10 through L15. Additionally, since the undeveloped lot currently drains offsite towards Parker Road, and no impervious surface is proposed in the basin, Basin MCW-1 should have no adverse impacts to the offsite stormwater conditions.

- Basin MCW-2 (0.14 ac, 90.3% impervious) – The majority of the basin encompasses the car wash flows through roof drains. These are connected to storm sewer infrastructure that ultimately gets conveyed to the southeast corner of the site to the existing inlet. This existing inlet will be resized to capture the entire site’s runoff. The remaining flow within this basin is comprised of paved areas that all sheet flows to the trench drain at the south end of the basin.
- Basin MCW-3 (0.30 ac, 79.7% impervious) – The majority of this basin is pavement that sheet flows to the curb and gutters conveying the flow to the curb inlet on the north side of the site.
- Basin MCW-4 (0.42 ac, 87.6% impervious) – This basin is comprised of primarily pavement and landscaping including the center and southeast portion of the site. Flows sheet flow into a concrete valley pan adjacent to the parking stalls that is conveyed southwest to a curb inlet.
- Basin MCW-5 (0.02 ac, 100.00% impervious) – This basin is a portion of the sidewalk along the shared drives. Flows from this basin will drain south in the shared drive to an existing inlet as designed with the overall report.

The proposed car wash storm sewer system was analyzed using Bentley Storm CAD and Inlet capacities were calculated using the MHFD UD-Inlet spread sheet. Please refer to Appendix B for results.

Conclusions:

The drainage design for the Mister Car Wash follows the Overall Report. The actual design for the onsite drainage area is 1.35 acres with an imperviousness of 73.7% which is less than what was assumed in the overall report. The Mister Car Wash Development does not create additional flows or cause adverse impacts to the overall drainage. Therefore, the Mister Car Wash development and drainage design is in conformance.

Sincerely,  
**GALLOWAY**

Michael Kracov  
Civil Project Engineer  
5500 Greenwood Village Plaza Blvd, Suite 200  
Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
michaelkracov@gallowayus.com  
303.770.8884

**Please update the proposed basins and associated calculations for proposed inlets that reflect the revised drainage plans and re-location of inlet.**

**Appendices:**

Appendix A – Hydrologic Computations

Appendix B – StormCAD Results & Inlet Capacities

Appendix C – Web Soil Survey

Appendix D – Proposed Drainage Plan

Appendix E – Excerpts from “Final Drainage Report – Parker Pointe”

Please use latest NOAA Atlas 14 point rainfall values for Town of Parker in Hydrology calculations and provide reference to the data used in the report.

Please use the latest MHFD recommended imperviousness from the latest version of Table 6-2 and 6-3 of the USDCM\_Volume\_1 and provide reference to the tables for calculations in the report.

## **Appendix A: Hydrologic Computations**

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE						
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	t <sub>c</sub> (min)	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
MCW-1	0.18	0.03	0.17	11.19	0.04	0.20
MCW-2	0.14	0.76	0.81	7.09	0.47	0.96
MCW-3	0.30	0.64	0.73	9.45	0.73	1.58
MCW-4	0.42	0.73	0.79	7.72	1.28	2.55
MCW-5	0.02	0.86	0.89	5.00	0.05	0.09

## COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS

**Subdivision:** Parker Point  
**Location:** CO, Parker

**Project Name:** Mister Car Wash  
**Project No.:** TEC04  
**Calculated By:** KML  
**Checked By:** MRK  
**Date:** 7/26/24

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Paved Roads			Lawns			Roofs			Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	
MCW-1	0.18	100	0.01	7.7	0	0.17	0.0	90	0.00	0.00	7.66
MCW-2	0.14	100	0.02	12.3	0	0.00	0.0	90	0.12	78.00	90.26
MCW-3	0.30	100	0.22	74.5	0	0.06	0.0	90	0.02	5.20	79.67
MCW-4	0.42	100	0.37	87.6	0	0.05	0.0	90	0.00	0.00	87.63
MCW-5	0.02	100	0.02	100.0	0	0.00	0.0	90	0.00	0.00	100.00
<b>Total MCW</b>	<b>1.06</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0.64</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0.28</b>		<b>100</b>	<b>0.14</b>		<b>73.71</b>

**STANDARD FORM SF-2  
TIME OF CONCENTRATION**

Subdivision: Parker Point  
Location: CO, Parker

Project Name: Mister Car Wash  
Project No.: TEC04  
Calculated By: KML  
Checked By: MRK  
Date: 7/26/24

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					T <sub>c</sub> CHECK			FINAL
DATA						(T <sub>i</sub> )			(T <sub>t</sub> )					(URBANIZED BASINS)			
BASIN ID	D.A. (AC)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C <sub>100</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	L (FT)	S (%)	T <sub>i</sub> (MIN)	L (FT)	S (%)	C <sub>v</sub>	VEL. (FPS)	T <sub>t</sub> (MIN)	COMP. T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	TOTAL LENGTH (FT)	Urbanized T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)
MCW-1	0.18	A	7.7	0.17	0.03	215	1.5	25.1	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	25.1	215.0	11.2	11.2
MCW-2	0.14	A	90.3	0.81	0.76	170	1.5	7.1	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	7.1	170.0	10.9	7.1
MCW-3	0.30	A	79.7	0.73	0.64	165	1.5	9.5	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	9.5	165.0	10.9	9.5
MCW-4	0.42	A	87.6	0.79	0.73	170	1.5	7.7	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	7.7	170.0	10.9	7.7
MCW-5	0.02	A	100.0	0.89	0.86	55	1.5	2.8	0	1.5	20.0	2.4	0.0	2.8	55.0	10.3	5.0

**NOTES:**

$T_i = (0.395 * (1.1 - C_5) * (L)^{0.5}) / ((S)^{0.33})$ , S in ft/ft

$T_t = L / 60V$  (Velocity From Fig. 501)

Velocity  $V = C_v * S^{0.5}$ , S in ft/ft

T<sub>c</sub> Check =  $10 + L / 180$

For Urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 5.0 minutes is required.


For non-urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 10.0 minutes is required





## **Appendix B: StormCAD Results & Inlet Capacities**

# Scenario: 5-YR











# Profile Report

## Engineering Profile - STORM LINE 1 (TEC04\_StormCAD.stsw)



# Profile Report

## Engineering Profile - STORM LINE 2 (TEC04\_StormCAD.stsw)











# Profile Report

## Engineering Profile - STORM LINE 1 (TEC04\_StormCAD.stsw)



# Profile Report

## Engineering Profile - STORM LINE 2 (TEC04\_StormCAD.stsw)




Please include the Initial Sheet for inlet capacity/spread calcs showing assigned flows for the minor and major storm events and bypass flows.

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

**ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)**  
 (Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: Mister Car Wash - Parker, CO  
 Inlet ID: Inlet S-6 (Basin MCW4)




Worst Case of the two basins with Type R inlets

<b>Gutter Geometry:</b>	
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 10.0$ ft
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.015$ ft/ft
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.018$
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 18.0$ ft
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.030$ ft/ft
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.013$
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	$T_{MAX} = \begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ 18.0 & 18.0 \end{matrix}$ ft
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	$d_{MAX} = \begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ 4.0 & 5.0 \end{matrix}$ inches
Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition	
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition	
$Q_{allow} =$	$\begin{matrix} \text{Minor Storm} & \text{Major Storm} \\ \text{SUMP} & \text{SUMP} \end{matrix}$ cfs

# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	4.0	5.0	inches
<b>Grate Information</b>			
Length of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	N/A	N/A	
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>			
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	0.67	0.67	
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>			
Depth for Grate Midwidth	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	0.17	0.25	ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	1.00	1.00	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	N/A	N/A	
<b>Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)</b>			
<b>Q<sub>a</sub></b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Q<sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub></b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>cfs</b>

Worst Case of the two basins with Type R inlets (Basin MCW4)

## **Appendix C: Web Soil Survey**

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Castle Rock Area, Colorado

## Mister Car Wash - Parker Pointe



# Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Map Scale: 1:522 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.

0 5 10 20 30 Meters


0 25 50 100 150 Feet

Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84



### MAP LEGEND

**Area of Interest (AOI)**

 Area of Interest (AOI)




















**Soils**







 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines


 Soil Map Unit Points

**Special Point Features**






-  Blowout
-  Borrow Pit
-  Clay Spot
-  Closed Depression
-  Gravel Pit
-  Gravelly Spot
-  Landfill
-  Lava Flow
-  Marsh or swamp
-  Mine or Quarry
-  Miscellaneous Water
-  Perennial Water
-  Rock Outcrop
-  Saline Spot
-  Sandy Spot
-  Severely Eroded Spot
-  Sinkhole
-  Slide or Slip
-  Sodic Spot

-  Spoil Area
-  Stony Spot
-  Very Stony Spot
-  Wet Spot
-  Other
-  Special Line Features


**Water Features**

 Streams and Canals

**Transportation**

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

**Background**

 Aerial Photography

### MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
 Web Soil Survey URL:  
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado  
 Survey Area Data: Version 16, Aug 24, 2023

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021—Jun 12, 2021

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BtE HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP: A	Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes	0.8	64.1%
Sa HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP: B	Sampson loam	0.5	35.9%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>		<b>1.3</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

## Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## Castle Rock Area, Colorado

### BtE—Bresser-Truckton sandy loams, 5 to 25 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

*National map unit symbol:* jqy9  
*Elevation:* 5,500 to 6,600 feet  
*Mean annual precipitation:* 15 to 19 inches  
*Mean annual air temperature:* 47 to 52 degrees F  
*Frost-free period:* 120 to 135 days  
*Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Bresser and similar soils:* 50 percent  
*Truckton and similar soils:* 35 percent  
*Minor components:* 15 percent  
*Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

#### Description of Bresser

##### Setting

*Landform:* Terraces  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Riser, tread  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear  
*Across-slope shape:* Linear  
*Parent material:* Sandy eolian deposits

##### Typical profile

*H1 - 0 to 8 inches:* sandy loam  
*H2 - 8 to 30 inches:* sandy clay loam  
*H3 - 30 to 60 inches:* loamy sand

##### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 5 to 15 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Runoff class:* Medium  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high  
(0.20 to 2.00 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches:* Moderate (about 7.6 inches)

##### Interpretive groups

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified  
*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 6e  
*Hydrologic Soil Group:* B  
*Ecological site:* R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Description of Truckton

##### Setting

*Landform:* Terraces  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* |

The NRCS Soils report appears to indicate the Bresser (50% of the Bresser-Truckton composition listed) sandy loams falls within Hydrologic Soil Group B, and so the overall site and associated calculations should assume the predominant HSG of B type soils.

## Custom Soil Resource Report

*Down-slope shape:* Linear

*Across-slope shape:* Linear

*Parent material:* Alluvium derived from arkosic sedimentary rock

### Typical profile

*H1 - 0 to 4 inches:* sandy loam

*H2 - 4 to 19 inches:* sandy loam

*H3 - 19 to 60 inches:* sandy loam

### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 10 to 25 percent

*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches

*Drainage class:* Well drained

*Runoff class:* Low

*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None

*Frequency of ponding:* None

*Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches:* Low (about 6.0 inches)

### Interpretive groups

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* None specified

*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 6e

*Hydrologic Soil Group:* A

*Ecological site:* R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

*Hydric soil rating:* No

### Minor Components

#### Newlin

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent

*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Blakeland

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent

*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Stapleton

*Percent of map unit:* 4 percent

*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Aquic haplustolls

*Percent of map unit:* 1 percent

*Landform:* Swales

*Hydric soil rating:* Yes

## Sa—Sampson loam

### Map Unit Setting

*National map unit symbol:* jr02

## Custom Soil Resource Report

*Elevation:* 5,500 to 6,600 feet  
*Mean annual precipitation:* 15 to 19 inches  
*Mean annual air temperature:* 48 to 50 degrees F  
*Frost-free period:* 120 to 135 days  
*Farmland classification:* Farmland of statewide importance

### Map Unit Composition

*Sampson and similar soils:* 80 percent  
*Minor components:* 20 percent  
*Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

### Description of Sampson

#### Setting

*Landform:* Stream terraces on drainageways  
*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Tread  
*Down-slope shape:* Linear  
*Across-slope shape:* Linear  
*Parent material:* Weathered alluvium derived from arkose

#### Typical profile

*H1 - 0 to 9 inches:* loam  
*H2 - 9 to 28 inches:* clay loam  
*H3 - 28 to 38 inches:* loam  
*H4 - 38 to 60 inches:* silt loam

#### Properties and qualities

*Slope:* 1 to 4 percent  
*Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches  
*Drainage class:* Well drained  
*Runoff class:* Low  
*Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):* Moderately high to high  
(0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)  
*Depth to water table:* More than 80 inches  
*Frequency of flooding:* None  
*Frequency of ponding:* None  
*Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 15 percent  
*Maximum salinity:* Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)  
*Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches:* High (about 9.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

*Land capability classification (irrigated):* 3e  
*Land capability classification (nonirrigated):* 3c  
*Hydrologic Soil Group:* B  
*Ecological site:* R049XC202CO - Loamy Foothill 14-19 PZ  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

### Minor Components

#### Englewood

*Percent of map unit:* 8 percent  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Bresser

*Percent of map unit:* 7 percent  
*Hydric soil rating:* No

## Custom Soil Resource Report

### **Loamy alluvial land**

*Percent of map unit: 4 percent*

*Hydric soil rating: No*

### **Aquic haplustolls**

*Percent of map unit: 1 percent*

*Landform: Swales*

*Hydric soil rating: Yes*

# References

---

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_054262](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262)
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053577](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577)
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053580](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580)
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053374](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374)
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

## Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_054242](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242)

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\\_053624](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624)

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. [http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\\_052290.pdf](http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf)

## **Appendix D: Proposed Drainage Map**



**Appendix E: Excerpts from “Final Drainage Report – Parker Pointe”**

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**PREPARED FOR:  
PARKER & STROH, LLC  
975 LINCOLN STREET, SUITE 204  
DENVER, CO 80203**

**CONTACT: DAN YACOVETTA  
303-699-3368**



**6901 SOUTH PIERCE STREET, SUITE 315  
LITTLETON, CO 80128  
CONTACT: JERRY DAVIDSON, P.E.  
(303) 232-5255**

**JOB #2015-015**


**NOVEMBER 28, 2018**

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**I. CERTIFICATION PAGE**

This report for the final design of (Name of Development) was prepared by me or under my direct supervision in accordance with the provisions of the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual. I understand that the Town of Parker and its designated town authority do not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jerry W. Davidson, P.E.  
Colorado P.E. License No. 30226  
For and on Behalf of  
Perception Design Group, Inc.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Seal and Date

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**II GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

A. Site Location:

This Final Drainage Report is prepared by Perception Design Group, Inc. as part of the Construction Plan / Final Plat submittal process for the Parker Pointe project proposed in Parker, Colorado. Parker Pointe, (Project / Site) is located on an unplatted parcel of land situated at the southeast corner of South Parker Road and Stroh Road. See appendix for vicinity map. The Site lies within the southwest quarter of Section 3, Township 7 South, Range 66 West of the 6th Prime Meridian, Douglas County, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by South Parker Road to the west, and Stroh Road to the north. Adjacent developments include the Colorado Golf club in Douglas County to the east, new commercial and residential development in the Town of Parker on the north side of Stroh Road, Commercial development in Parker on the west side of Parker Road, and undeveloped open space in Douglas County south of the property.

B. Site Location:

The Site occupies approximately 14.7 acres. Ground cover consists of pasture grasses. Site topography generally slopes from a tall mound in the northerly portion of the site down to the southwest towards Kinney Creek. Runoff north of the mound flows northwesterly towards the intersection of Stroh Road and Parker Road. Slopes vary widely from 3:1 on the mound to 6% over flatter portions of the site.

Site soils as shown by the USDA Web Soil Survey indicate that primarily Sampson Loam and Bresser Truckton Sandy Loam soil is present. This soil is sandy clay loam in nature. It is a type B hydrologic soil. Additionally Loamy Alluvial Land soils are present to a lesser extent. This soil is also clay loam in nature. It is a type C hydrologic soil.

The site falls within the Cherry Creek basin. The Kinney Creek tributary lies along the southern border of the site. This tributary has a delineated floodplain which encroaches on the extreme southwest corner of the site.

There are no irrigation canals or ditches on site. Additionally, there are no significant geologic features on site.

The site is presently partially developed with a house and barns. These structures are to be removed as a part of the proposed development. As a part of this application, the site will be developed with graded pad sites for commercial and retail businesses, drives, and utilities.

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

### **III DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS**

#### A. Major Drainage Basins:

The site falls within two major drainage basins. The southerly portion of the site is tributary to Kinney Creek. Areas from the peak of the aforementioned mound and to the north are tributary to Stroh Gulch. The southerly line of basin H1 defines the historic break between the two basins. Per the Town of Parker, the majority of this runoff to Stroh Gulch is captured and conveyed via storm sewer to the new detention pond and ultimately to Kinney Creek. A final drainage report was prepared for Stroh Crossing Filing No. 1 by Calibre Engineering. This is the development on the north side of Stroh Road. This report anticipated runoff from the Parker Pointe property and made allowance to handle the flow. Basin ST-2b from the Calibre report quantifies 18.9 cfs for the basin. Basin SR2 in this report indicates 4.1 cfs tributary to Stroh Road downstream of the newly placed inlet on Stroh Road for Basin SR1 plus carryover from Inlet SR1 of 3.2 cfs for a total tributary to Stroh Gulch of 7.3 cfs.

Kinney Creek was studied by WRC Engineering Inc. in a report entitled “Flood Hazard Area Delineation for Kinney Creek Fonder Draw and Tributaries” date April 2004. Floodplain was determined along the southwest corner of the site. Minor grading is proposed in the floodplain along Parker Road. Roadway widening encroaches upon and places fill in the floodplain. To mitigate this the shoulder borrow ditch is shifted east in similar size to replace filled floodplain with like volume and shape.

#### B. Minor Drainage Basins:

To facilitate design, the site is divided into multiple sub-basins described as follows:

Basins L1 thru L15 are used to represent each of the proposed lots. As development conditions are not yet determined, an assumed 95% imperviousness is established for each basin. A storm sewer stub is provided for each lot to convey developed runoff to the extended detention basin at the southeast corner of the site providing both detention and water quality facilities. While Basins L10 thru L15 drain towards Parker road in the overlot condition, it is required that these lots convey site runoff to the mainline storm sewer down the center access drive. Due to the presence of the Magellan gas pipeline and it's limited cover requirements as well as site visibility lines to the easterly lots, The west side of lots 10 thru 15 will remain below the center access drive. The storm sewer has been placed at maximum depth to accommodate these lots “bucking” grade with the storm sewer system.

Basins L1A thru L5A represents the easterly portion of Lots 1 thru 5. Runoff from these

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

basins flow overland to the east to the drainage swale along the east property line thence into the extended detention facility. As development conditions are not yet determined, an assumed 95% imperviousness is established for each basin.

Basins IN1 thru IN3 are established to quantify runoff collected in a series of inlets along the central north-south access drive. This runoff is piped to the extended detention basin at the southeast corner of the site providing both detention and water quality facilities.

Basin SR1 is used to quantify runoff to Stroh Road from the road itself as well as offsite areas to the east. Detention and water quality are provided for this basin. See additional discussion under Major Basins above.

Basin SR2 (along with basin U4) is used to quantify runoff to the new inlet at the intersection of Stroh Road and Parker Road. Detention and water quality are not provided for this basin.

Basin PR1 combines with Basins U2 and PR2 to define runoff to the new pair of inlets located at the low point of Parker Road. Basin PR1 is separated to quantify new paved area requiring water quality treatment. Treatment for Basin PR1 combined with Basin U2 is provided in a grass swale in the ROW of Parker Road leading down to Kinney Creek.

Basin PR2 is used to quantify runoff from existing Parker Road improvements to the new pair of inlets located at the low point of Parker Road. Water quality is not provided for this basin. Total flow to the inlets is a combination of Basins PR1, PR2, and U2.

Basin PR3 is not illustrated on the plan. This basin is used to quantify new paved areas in Parker Road north of Stroh Road. This basin encompasses the new left turn bay on Parker Road to Stroh Road. Runoff from this basin is treated for water quality in the existing grass buffer along the west side of Parker Road.

Basin U1 is on-site area that is not tributary to the detention / water quality facility. This basin encompasses Tracts A and B which are floodplain and mouse habitat areas. Detention and water quality are not provided for this basin.

Basin U2 is on-site area that is not tributary to the detention / water quality facility. This basin quantifies runoff escaping the site down the access road to Parker Road. Detention is not provided for this basin, however, water quality is provided in the grass swale referenced above in the PR1 basin description.

Basin U3 is on-site area that is not tributary to the detention / water quality facility. Runoff from this basin adjacent to Parker Road flows overland into Parker Road.

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

Detention and water quality are not provided for this basin.

Basin U4 is on-site area that is not tributary to the detention / water quality facility. Runoff from this basin enters Stroh Road and is collected in inlet SR2.

Basin H1 is a historic basin quantifying historic runoff to Stroh Road. It is used as a check for Calibre basin ST-2b. Basin H1 indicates runoff of 17.0 cfs while basin ST2-b indicates 18.9 cfs. Variance is due to more accurate topography available for the Parker Pointe site and better defined drainage basin as well as differences in time of concentration.

Basin OS1 quantifies flows entering the extended detention pond from offsite flows from the Colorado Golf Club property east of the Parker Pointe property. Detention and water quality are provided for this offsite flow area in its present condition.

#### **IV DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA**

##### A. Regulations:

Design calculations and methodologies are based upon the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual. Additionally, the Urban Drainage Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 thru 3 are utilized.

##### B. Drainage Studies, Outfall System Plans:

The Final Drainage Report for Stroh Crossing Filing No. 1 by Calibre Engineering is used to identify allowable site discharge to Stroh Gulch. The WRC Engineering Inc. report entitled "Flood Hazard Area Delineation for Kinney Creek Fonder Draw and Tributaries" date April 2004 was utilized to map the floodplain elevations along the south property line. This study has negligible impact on the design presented.

##### C. Hydrology:

Runoff is calculated for both the 5 year and 100 year storms using the rational method. On-site basins utilize a 5 minute time of concentration with 5 year intensity of 4.7 in/hr and 100 year at 8.85 in/hr. Detention storage volumes are calculated using the UDFCD ver 3.07 UD-Detention spreadsheet. This spreadsheet is also utilized to calculate allowable release rates.

##### D. Hydraulics:

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

Storm sewer capacities are calculated using Hydraflow Storm Sewers extension for AutoCAD Civil 3D ver 2017. The system is designed such to provide minimal surcharge for the 100 year event, and no surcharge for the 5 year event. The Hydraflow software is also used to calculate hydraulic grade lines for the storm sewer.

E. Water Quality Enhancement:

Water quality is achieved in an extended detention facility designed to EURV specifications using UDFCD ver 3.07 UD-Detention spreadsheet.

**V STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY DESIGN**

A. Stormwater Conveyance Facilities:

Developed stormwater is generally conveyed towards the central north south driveway where stubs are provided that connect to a storm sewer mainline. The storm main runs in a southerly then easterly direction to the proposed EDB detention facility. Total developed site runoff tributary to the EDB is 146.51 cfs. Storm sewer outfall into the EDB occurs at a concrete forebay. Outfall from the EDB is controlled to code levels and discharged via storm sewer pipe to Kinney Creek where riprap is provided to control erosion. Storm sewer is placed in an easement for perpetual maintenance. Do to the depth of the pond and invert of the adjacent Kinney Creek, outfall is piped westerly to discharge near the box culvert under Parker Road where more favorable elevations exist.

B. Stormwater Storage Facilities:

Stormwater storage on site is accomplished in an extended detention basin located offsite near the southeast corner of the site. Required pond design elements are summarized below:

<u>Volume Element</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Elevation</u>	<u>Release Rate</u>
WQCV	0.566 Ac-Ft	5966.12	41 hours
EURV + WQCV	1.472 Ac-Ft	5968.03	70 hours
100 year	2.753 Ac-Ft	5970.05	36.7 cfs
Storage Provided	2.753 Ac-Ft	5970.05	

Outflow metering is accomplished in a concrete outlet structure. 2 orifices are used. One for WQ and EURV while a second is used covering the outfall pipe to limit the 100 year flow. A double type D inlet is proposed to provide sufficient weir flow to accommodate

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

the 100 year release rate. Micropool and trash racks are provided. Emergency overflow occurs directly into Kinney Creek via overflow weir and riprap embankment.

Allowable 100 year discharge must be reduced to allow for uncaptured basin U1 thru U4 and PR1. The combined 100 year un-detained flow from basins U1 thru U4 is 4.4 cfs. Basin PR1 is 3.2 cfs. Combined reduction in allowable discharge is 7.6 cfs. Allowable detention discharge as shown on the UDFCD spreadsheet is 44.5 cfs. The outlet structure design limits discharge to 36.7 cfs. Calculating the allowable discharge results in  $44.5 - 7.6 = 36.9$  cfs. The design discharge is less than allowable therefore this provides adequate compensation for the uncaptured flows.

A maintenance access is provided entering at the northwest corner of the pond. Roadbase surfacing is provided and slopes not exceeding 10% are employed to enhance access for maintenance. An easement is provided over the pond should Town access, inspection, or repairs be required.

C. Water quality Enhancement Best Management Practices:

The EDB pond design includes water quality capture volume. Developed flows are conveyed via underground storm sewer to a single discharge point into the pond. At this point, a concrete forebay is provided to capture heavier particulate material.

Water quality treatment is also provided for the new paved areas of Parker Road. Basin PR1 is treated in a grass swale with discharge to Kinney Creek. Basin PR3 is treated in the existing grass buffer along the west side of Parker Road north of Stroh Road. UDFCD spreadsheets are provided for each treatment facility in the appendix.

D. Floodplain Modification:

Minor grading is proposed in the floodplain along Parker Road. Roadway widening encroaches upon and places fill in the floodplain. To mitigate this the shoulder borrow ditch is shifted east in similar size to replace filled floodplain with like volume and shape. A floodplain development permit will be required for this work as well as disturbances due to outfall construction. A no rise analysis has been performed and the results indicating compliance are included in the appendix.

E. Additional Permitting Requirements:

State stormwater permit for discharges during construction.  
Town of Parker permits.  
Douglas County permits.

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**V CONCLUSIONS**

A. Compliance with Standards:

The plans and calculations presented are in compliance with Town of Parker, Douglas County, and Urban Drainage requirements.

B. Variances:

No variances are requested.

**V REFERNCES**

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Drainage Criteria Manual, Current addition.  
Town of Parker Storm Drainage Criteria Manual  
Town of Parker Construction Best Management Practices  
Douglas County Storm Drainage Design and Technical Criteria Manual  
USDA Web Soil Survey

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>DETENTION AND WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>KEYMAP, FIRM, SOILS</b>
<b>APPENDIX E</b>	<b>DRAINAGE MAP</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b>	<b>FLOODPLAIN NO RISE REPORT</b>

Perception Design Group, Inc.  
 6901 South Pierce Street, Suite 315  
 Littleton, Colorado 80128  
 (303) 232-8088 Fax (303) 232-5255

Designed by: JWD  
 Checked by: JWD  
 Date: 18-Sep-17  
 Job Number: 2015-015

Project: Parker Pointe

**COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS**

**TYPE B SOILS**

Catchment	FUTURE COMMERCIAL		DRIVES/WALKS/ROOF		LANDSCAPING		Composite C	Catchment Area (Ac.)	Imperviousness
	Area (Ac.)	C	Area (Ac.)	C	Area (Ac.)	C			
	Imperviousness = 95%		Imperviousness = 100%		Imperviousness = 2%				
L12 (5 YR)	0.56	0.81	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.81</b>	0.56	95.0%
L12 (100 YR)	0.56	0.88	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.88</b>	0.56	
L13 (5 YR)	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.81</b>	0.73	95.0%
L13 (100 YR)	0.73	0.88	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.88</b>	0.73	
L14 (5 YR)	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.81</b>	0.73	95.0%
L14 (100 YR)	0.73	0.88	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.88</b>	0.73	
L15 (5 YR)	0.72	0.81	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.81</b>	0.72	95.0%
L15 (100 YR)	0.72	0.88	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.88</b>	0.72	
IN1 (5 YR)	0.00	0.81	0.26	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.90</b>	0.26	100.0%
IN1 (100 YR)	0.00	0.88	0.26	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.96</b>	0.26	
IN2 (5 YR)	0.00	0.81	0.53	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.90</b>	0.53	100.0%
IN2 (100 YR)	0.00	0.88	0.53	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.96</b>	0.53	
IN3 (5 YR)	0.00	0.81	0.11	0.90	0.00	0.09	<b>0.90</b>	0.11	100.0%
IN3 (100 YR)	0.00	0.88	0.11	0.96	0.00	0.36	<b>0.96</b>	0.11	
OS1 (5 YR)	0.00	0.81	1.21	0.90	22.13	0.09	<b>0.13</b>	23.34	7.1%
OS1 (100 YR)	0.00	0.88	1.21	0.96	22.13	0.36	<b>0.39</b>	23.34	
SR1 (5 YR)	0.00	0.81	0.40	0.90	3.35	0.09	<b>0.18</b>	3.75	12.5%

**STANDARD FORM SF-2**  
**TIME OF CONCENTRATION**

PROJECT Parker Pointe  
 JN ASP-2015-015  
 CALCULATED BY JWD  
 DATE 18-Sep-17

SUB -BASIN		DATA	INITIAL/OVERLAND TIME (Ti)			TRAVEL TIME (Tt)					Tc CHECK			FINAL (Tc)	REMARKS
DESIGN	Area (ac)	C5	LENGTH Li (ft)	SLOPE (ft/ft)	Ti (min)	LENGTH - Lt (ft)	SLOPE %	K Conveyance Factor	VEL (fps)	Tt (min)	COMP (TC)	Basin Imp. (decimal)	Tc=(UDFCD Eq 6-5) (min)	MIN	
SR1	3.23	0.27	375.0	0.030	20.4	540.0	2.50	20	3.16	2.8	23.3	0.241	22.4	22.4	22.4
H1	10.52	0.09	530.0	0.050	24.9	550.0	2.75	10	1.66	5.5	30.5	0.020	26.3	26.3	26.3
OS1	24.54	0.13	290.0	0.070	15.8	1425.0	6.00	10	2.45	9.7	25.5	0.020	26.7	25.5	25.5



Perception Design Group, Inc.  
 6901 South Pierce Street, Suite 315  
 Littleton, Colorado 80128  
 (303) 232-8088 Fax (303) 232-5255

Designed by: JWD  
 Checked by: JWD  
 Date: 18-Sep-17  
 Job Number: 2015-015

Project: Parker Pointe

**RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**

**(RATIONAL METHOD)**

Design Storm: 5-Yr.

		Direct Runoff						
Design	Basin	Area	Runoff	CA	Tc	I	Q	
Point	Desig.	(Acres)	Coefficient		(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	
	H1	10.52	0.09	0.95	26.0	2.30	2.18	
	L1	0.71	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.70	
	L2	0.50	0.81	0.41	5.0	4.70	1.90	
	L2A	0.19	0.81	0.15	5.0	4.70	0.72	
	L3	0.43	0.81	0.35	5.0	4.70	1.64	
	L3A	0.16	0.81	0.13	5.0	4.70	0.61	
	L4	0.63	0.81	0.51	5.0	4.70	2.40	
	L4A	0.24	0.81	0.19	5.0	4.70	0.91	
	L5	0.63	0.81	0.51	5.0	4.70	2.40	
	L5A	0.24	0.81	0.19	5.0	4.70	0.91	
	L6	0.78	0.81	0.63	5.0	4.70	2.97	
	L7	0.68	0.81	0.55	5.0	4.70	2.59	
	L8	0.87	0.81	0.70	5.0	4.70	3.31	
	L9	0.71	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.70	
	L10	0.88	0.81	0.71	5.0	4.70	3.35	
	L11	0.92	0.81	0.75	5.0	4.70	3.50	
	L12	0.56	0.81	0.45	5.0	4.70	2.13	
	L13	0.73	0.81	0.59	5.0	4.70	2.78	
	L14	0.73	0.81	0.59	5.0	4.70	2.78	
	L15	0.72	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.74	
	IN1	0.26	0.90	0.23	5.0	4.70	1.10	
	IN2	0.53	0.90	0.48	5.0	4.70	2.24	
	IN3	0.11	0.9	0.10	5.0	4.70	0.47	
	SR1	3.75	0.18	0.68	22.4	2.60	1.76	
	SR2	0.32	0.87	0.28	5.0	4.70	1.31	
	PR1	0.42	0.77	0.32	5.0	4.70	1.52	
	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	4.70	4.11	
	U1	1.37	0.09	0.12	5.0	4.70	0.58	
	U2	0.3	0.74	0.22	5.0	4.70	1.04	
	U3	0.17	0.09	0.02	5.0	4.70	0.07	
	U4	0.23	0.58	0.13	5.0	4.70	0.63	
	OS1	23.34	0.13	3.03	25.5	2.50	7.59	

Perception Design Group, Inc.  
 6901 South Pierce Street, Suite 315  
 Littleton, Colorado 80128  
 (303) 232-8088 Fax (303) 232-5255

Designed by: JWD  
 Checked by: JWD  
 Date: 18-Sep-17  
 Job Number: 2015-015

Project: Parker Pointe

**RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**

(RATIONAL METHOD)


Design Storm: 100-Yr.

		Direct Runoff						
Design	Basin	Area	Runoff	CA	Tc	I	Q	
Point	Desig.	(Acres)	Coefficient		(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	
	H1	10.52	0.36	3.79	26.0	4.50	17.04	
	L1	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53	
	L2	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89	
	L2A	0.19	0.88	0.17	5.0	8.85	1.48	
	L3	0.43	0.88	0.38	5.0	8.85	3.35	
	L3A	0.16	0.88	0.14	5.0	8.85	1.25	
	L4	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91	
	L4A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87	
	L5	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91	
	L5A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87	
	L6	0.78	0.88	0.69	5.0	8.85	6.07	
	L7	0.68	0.88	0.60	5.0	8.85	5.30	
	L8	0.87	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.78	
	L9	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53	
	L10	0.88	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.85	
	L11	0.92	0.88	0.81	5.0	8.85	7.16	
	L12	0.56	0.88	0.49	5.0	8.85	4.36	
	L13	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69	
	L14	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69	
	L15	0.72	0.88	0.63	5.0	8.85	5.61	
	IN1	0.26	0.96	0.25	5.0	8.85	2.21	
	IN2	0.53	0.96	0.51	5.0	8.85	4.50	
	IN3	0.11	0.96	0.11	5.0	8.85	0.93	
	SR1	3.75	0.42	1.58	22.4	4.90	7.72	
TOTAL FLOW TO FOREBAY							103.45	
	OS1	23.34	0.39	9.10	25.5	4.50	40.96	
TOTAL TO POND		39.30					144.41	
	U1	1.37	0.36	0.49	25.5	4.50	2.22	
	U2	0.3	0.84	0.25	25.5	4.50	1.13	
	U3	0.17	0.36	0.06	25.5	4.50	0.28	
	U4	0.23	0.73	0.17	25.5	4.50	0.76	
UN-CAPTURED SITE RUNOFF							4.38	
	SR2	0.32	0.94	0.30	5.0	8.85	2.66	
	PR1	0.42	0.86	0.36	5.0	8.85	3.20	
	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	8.85	7.73	

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**APPENDIX C    HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS**

# Hydraflow Storm Sewers Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® Plan




Project File: Storm Line A 100 yr.stm

Number of lines: 26

Date: 3/1/2018

Line No.	Line Size	Flow Rate	Vel Ave	Depth Up	Depth Dn	HGL Up	HGL Dn	Line ID
	(in)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
1	42	61.03	8.31	2.49	2.50	5967.48	5966.84	P-22
2	42	61.03	6.74	2.99	3.28	5968.77	5968.47	P-21
3	42	56.12	5.91	3.29	3.50	5969.82	5969.53	P-19
4	42	44.60	4.83	3.04	3.50	5970.63	5970.36	P-14
5	42	39.69	5.72	1.96**	3.23	5970.30	5971.02	P-12
6	36	31.79	7.11	1.83**	1.80	5970.99	5970.64	P-9
7	30	28.44	6.73	2.01	2.01	5972.27	5971.67	P-7
8	30	18.86	4.18	1.98	2.50	5973.23	5972.97	P-4
9	21	7.72	4.34	1.03**	1.55	5974.21 j	5973.55	P-1
10	18	5.61	3.17	1.50	1.50	5973.67	5973.55	P-2
11	18	5.53	3.13	1.50	1.50	5973.57	5973.55	P-3
12	18	3.89	2.20	1.50	1.50	5972.98	5972.97	P-6
13	18	5.69	3.22	1.50	1.50	5973.10	5972.97	P-5
14	18	3.35	3.40	0.70**	1.02	5970.83	5970.99	P-8
15	18	7.90	4.73	1.35	1.35	5970.64	5970.49	P-11
16	18	5.69	3.82	1.16	1.20	5970.71	5970.69	P-10
17	18	4.91	2.78	1.50	1.50	5971.04	5971.02	P-13
18	24	11.52	3.67	2.00	2.00	5970.61	5970.36	P-18
19	18	4.36	2.47	1.50	1.50	5971.01	5970.82	P-16
20	18	4.36	2.47	1.50	1.50	5971.11	5971.09	P-15
21	18	7.16	4.05	1.50	1.50	5971.11	5970.82	P-17
22	18	4.91	2.78	1.50	1.50	5969.55	5969.53	P-20
23	36	36.00	7.34	1.98	1.95	5961.42	5959.95	STM PIPE - 37
24	36	36.00	6.00	2.23	2.55	5962.36	5962.19	STM PIPE - 38
25	36	36.00	7.06	1.95**	2.13	5963.59 j	5962.45	STM PIPE - 39
26	36	36.00	6.66	2.14	2.15	5964.17	5963.99	STM PIPE - 40

# Hydraflow Storm Sewers Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® Plan



Project File: Storm 2 100 yr.stm

Number of lines: 13

Date: 3/1/2018


Line No.	Line Size	Flow Rate	Vel Ave	Depth Up	Depth Dn	HGL Up	HGL Dn	Line ID
	(in)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
1	36	35.96	7.35	1.96	1.96	5966.63	5966.30	Pipe - (6)
2	36	29.89	5.75	1.77**	2.58	5967.50	5967.45	Pipe - (5)
3	36	23.11	6.23	1.55**	1.57	5968.44	5967.50	Pipe - (4)
4	24	16.65	5.78	1.72	1.72	5969.89	5969.62	Pipe - (10)
5	24	11.35	3.69	1.84	2.00	5970.54	5970.40	Pipe - (14)
6	18	6.85	4.18	1.26	1.38	5970.82	5970.58	Pipe - (15)
7	18	6.85	4.28	1.24	1.31	5971.23	5971.07	Pipe - (16)
8	18	6.85	4.40	1.17	1.31	5971.86	5971.50	Pipe - (17)
9	18	5.53	4.61	0.96	0.96	5970.09	5969.35	Pipe - (3)
10	18	5.53	4.05	1.07	1.10	5970.44	5970.42	Pipe - (9)
11	18	5.30	3.00	1.50	1.50	5970.42	5970.40	Pipe - (18)
12	18	6.78	5.85	1.01**	0.88	5968.40	5968.11	Pipe - (11)
13	18	6.07	4.46	0.95**	1.28	5967.36	5967.45	Pipe - (12)

**ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)**

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:  
Inlet ID:


Parker Pointe  
IN 1



Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)									
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="0.0"/> ft								
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/> ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text"/>								
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="6.00"/> inches								
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="26.0"/> ft								
Gutter Width	$W =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="2.00"/> ft								
Street Transverse Slope	$S_X =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="0.020"/> ft/ft								
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_W =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="0.083"/> ft/ft								
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_D =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="0.005"/> ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} =$ <input style="width: 50px;" type="text" value="0.013"/>								
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>T_{MAX} =</math></td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">26.0</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">26.0</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$T_{MAX} =$	26.0	26.0	ft
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$T_{MAX} =$	26.0	26.0	ft						
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>d_{MAX} =</math></td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">6.0</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">6.0</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	6.0	inches
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	6.0	inches						
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> check = yes								
<b>MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion</b>									
<b>MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion</b>									
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Q_{allow} =</math></td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">12.0</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">12.0</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$Q_{allow} =$	12.0	12.0	cfs
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
$Q_{allow} =$	12.0	12.0	cfs						
Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management' Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'									

## INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	2	2	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	5.00	5.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	0.10	0.10	
<b>Street Hydraulics: OK - Q &lt; Allowable Street Capacity</b>			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	1.1	2.2	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	0.0	0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = $Q_c/Q_o$ =	100	100	%

SEE SHEET DP3

STROH ROAD





100 YEAR RUNOFF SUMMARY

Design Point	Basin Desig.	Area (Acres)	Runoff Coefficient	CA	Tc (min)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)
H1		10.52	0.36	3.79	26.0	4.50	17.04
L1	L1	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53
L2	L2	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89
L2A	L2A	0.19	0.88	0.17	5.0	8.85	1.48
L3	L3	0.43	0.88	0.38	5.0	8.85	3.35
L3A	L3A	0.16	0.88	0.14	5.0	8.85	1.25
L4	L4	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91
L4A	L4A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87
L5	L5	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91
L5A	L5A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87
L6	L6	0.78	0.88	0.69	5.0	8.85	6.07
L7	L7	0.68	0.88	0.60	5.0	8.85	5.30
L8	L8	0.87	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.78
L9	L9	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53
L10	L10	0.88	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.85
L11	L11	0.92	0.88	0.81	5.0	8.85	7.16
L12	L12	0.56	0.88	0.49	5.0	8.85	4.36
L13	L13	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69
L14	L14	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69
L15	L15	0.72	0.88	0.63	5.0	8.85	5.61
IN1	IN1	0.26	0.96	0.25	5.0	8.85	2.21
IN2	IN2	0.53	0.96	0.51	5.0	8.85	4.50
IN3	IN3	0.11	0.96	0.11	5.0	8.85	0.93
SR1	SR1	3.75	0.42	1.58	22.4	4.90	7.72
TOTAL FLOW TO FOREBAY							103.45
OS1	OS1	23.34	0.39	9.10	25.5	4.50	40.96
TOTAL TO POND							144.41
U1	U1	1.37	0.36	0.49	25.5	4.50	2.22
U2	U2	0.3	0.84	0.25	25.5	4.50	1.13
U3	U3	0.17	0.36	0.06	25.5	4.50	0.28
U4	U4	0.23	0.73	0.17	25.5	4.50	0.78
UN-CAPTURED SITE RUNOFF							4.38
SR2	SR2	0.32	0.94	0.30	5.0	8.85	2.66
PR1	PR1	0.42	0.86	0.36	5.0	8.85	3.20
PR2	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	8.85	7.73


DETENTION SUMMARY

ZONE	VOLUME	ELEVATION	RELEASE RATE
WOCV	0.566 AC-FT		41 HOURS
EURV+WOCV	1.472 AC-FT	5968.03	70 HOURS
100 YEAR	2.753 AC-FT	5970.05	36.7 CFS

LEGEND



GRAPHIC SCALE



BENCHMARK

BENCHMARK: DOUGLAS COUNTY SURVEY CONTROL MONUMENT TT15A - 3" DIAMETER DOUGLAS COUNTY GIS ALUMINUM CAP AT THE NE CORNER OF STROH ROAD AND SOUTH PARKER ROAD (US HIGHWAY 63)  
ELEVATION: 5970.79 FEET (NAVD 1988 DATUM)

THE TOWN OF PARKER REVIEW CONSTITUTES GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN'S STANDARDS AND APPROVED VARIANCES. SUBJECT TO THESE PLANS BEING STAMPED, SIGNED, AND DATED BY THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OF RECORD. REVIEW BY THE TOWN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF THE PLAN DESIGN OR ACCURACY AND CORRECTNESS OF ENGINEERING CALCULATIONS. ERRORS IN THE DESIGN OR CALCULATIONS REMAIN THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WHOSE STAMP AND SIGNATURE ARE AFFIXED TO THIS DOCUMENT.

THIS REVIEW DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF ANY PRIVATE ON-SITE IMPROVEMENTS WHICH MAY BE SHOWN. CONSTRUCTION CANNOT COMMENCE UNTIL ALL REQUIRED DRAINAGE/TRAFFIC REPORT(S), FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN(S), SPECIAL REVIEW(S), GRADING PERMIT, AND/OR OTHER PERMITS ARE COMPLETE, APPROVED AND ON THE FILE WITH THE TOWN OF PARKER.

TOWN OF PARKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

DATE

DRAINAGE PLAN WEST

PARKER POINTE  
LOTS 1 THRU 15 AND TRACTS A AND B, PARKER POINTE FILING NO. 1  
SOUTHEAST CORNER PARKER ROAD AND STROH ROAD  
PARKER, COLORADO

design by: JWD  
approved by: JWD  
project no.: 2015-015

date: 10/01/17

SHEET

DP2

PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF JERRY W. DAVIDSON, P.E. COLORADO REG # 30226 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF PERCEPTION DESIGN GROUP, INC.

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
11/01/18		SIXTH SUBMITTAL
08/31/18		FOURTH SUBMITTAL
05/25/18		THIRD SUBMITTAL
03/19/18		PWSO SUBMITTAL
02/28/18		SECOND SUBMITTAL
10/24/17		INITIAL SUBMITTAL

REVISIONS

PERCEPTION  
DESIGN GROUP, INC.  
6901 SOUTH PEERCE STREET SUITE 315, LITTLETON, CO 80120 303.259.8688  
WWW.PERCEPTIONDESIGNGROUP.COM

I:\PDG-MASV-PUBLIC\PROJECTS\2015-015 PARKER AND STROH.DWG\2015-015 DRAINAGE MAP.DWG 11/28/2018 10:22 AM

STROH ROAD

PRESERVATION TRAIL


SR1  
3.51 0.78  
0.42

OS1  
23.34 0.13  
0.39


SEE SHEET DP2

LEGEND

- 5340 --- EXISTING CONTOUR
- 42 --- PROPOSED CONTOUR
- R1** BASIN DESIGNATION
- 0.20 0.75 0.85 5 YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- 100 YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- BASIN AREA
- BASIN BOUNDARY LINE
- ▲ DESIGN POINT



GRAPHIC SCALE



BENCHMARK

BENCHMARK: DOUGLAS COUNTY SURVEY CONTROL MONUMENT TT15A - 3" DIAMETER DOUGLAS COUNTY GIS ALUMINUM CAP AT THE NE CORNER OF STROH ROAD AND SOUTH PARKER ROAD (US HIGHWAY 83)  
ELEVATION: 5970.79 FEET (NAVD 1988 DATUM)

**CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO**  
**1-800-922-1987**  
CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.

CAUTION: NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR THE CONTRACTOR IS SPECIFICALLY CAUTIONED THAT THE LOCATION AND/OR ELEVATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS BASED ON RECORDS OF THE VARIOUS UTILITY COMPANIES AND, WHERE POSSIBLE, MEASUREMENTS TAKEN IN THE FIELD. THE INFORMATION IS NOT TO BE RELIED ON AS BEING EXACT OR COMPLETE. THE CONTRACTOR MUST CALL THE LOCAL UTILITY LOCATION CENTER AT LEAST 48 HOURS BEFORE ANY EXCAVATION TO REQUEST EXACT FIELD LOCATIONS OF THE UTILITIES. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO RELOCATE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHICH CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.

THE TOWN OF PARKER REVIEW CONSTITUTES GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN'S STANDARDS AND APPROVED VARIANCES. SUBJECT TO THESE PLANS BEING STAMPED, SIGNED, AND DATED BY THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OF RECORD, REVIEW BY THE TOWN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF THE PLAN DESIGN OR ACCURACY AND CORRECTNESS OF ENGINEERING CALCULATIONS, ERRORS IN THE DESIGN OR CALCULATIONS REMAIN THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WHOSE STAMP AND SIGNATURE ARE AFFIXED TO THIS DOCUMENT.

THIS REVIEW DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF ANY PRIVATE ON-SITE IMPROVEMENTS WHICH MAY BE SHOWN. CONSTRUCTION CANNOT COMMENCE UNTIL ALL REQUIRED DRAINAGE/ TRAFFIC REPORT(S), FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN(S), SPECIAL REVIEW(S), GRADING PERMIT, AND/OR OTHER PERMITS ARE COMPLETE, APPROVED AND ON THE FILE WITH THE TOWN OF PARKER.

TOWN OF PARKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

DATE

DRAINAGE PLAN EAST



PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF JERRY W. DAVIDSON, P.E. COLORADO REG # 30226 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF PERCEPTION DESIGN GROUP, INC.

DATE	DESCRIPTION
11/01/18	SIXTH SUBMITTAL
08/31/18	FOURTH SUBMITTAL
05/25/18	THIRD SUBMITTAL
03/19/18	PWSD SUBMITTAL
02/28/18	SECOND SUBMITTAL
10/24/17	INITIAL SUBMITTAL

DESIGN BY	JWD
APPROVED BY	JWD
PROJECT NO.	2015-015
DATE	10/01/17
SHEET	DP3

LOTS 1 THRU 15 AND TRACTS A AND B, PARKER POINTE FILING NO. 1  
SOUTHEAST CORNER PARKER ROAD AND STROH ROAD  
PARKER, COLORADO

REVISIONS

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**PREPARED FOR:  
PARKER & STROH, LLC  
PO BOX 867  
CRESTED BUTTE, CO 81224**

**CONTACT: BRAD WILLETT  
970-366-1271**



**6901 SOUTH PIERCE STREET, SUITE 315  
LITTLETON, CO 80128  
CONTACT: JERRY DAVIDSON, P.E.  
(303) 232-5255**

**JOB #2015-015**

**DECEMBER 14, 2023**

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

**I. CERTIFICATION PAGE**

This report for the final design of Parker Pointe was prepared by me or under my direct supervision in accordance with the provisions of the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual. I understand that the Town of Parker and its designated town authority do not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

---

Jerry W. Davidson, P.E.  
Colorado P.E. License No. 30226  
For and on Behalf of  
Perception Design Group, Inc.

---

Seal and Date

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT AMENDMENT  
PARKER POINTE  
PARKER, COLORADO**

## **II GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION**

Site Location and Purpose:

This Final Drainage Report Amendment is prepared by Perception Design Group, Inc. as part of the Re-Plat submittal process for the Parker Pointe project proposed in Parker, Colorado. The re-plat area encompasses Lots 7 thru 15 of Parker Pointe Subdivision Filing No. 1.

The Re-Plat occupies approximately 8.5 acres. Its purpose is to reconfigure / combine the original 9 lots into 6 new lots.

## **III DRAINAGE BASINS**

Drainage Basins:

Basins L7 thru L15 represent lots 7 thru 15 in the original study. In this amendment basins L7 thru L10, IN2 and IN3, and a portion of basin L11 defined as new basin L11B are now combined to a single collection point at design point 25. Previous upstream collection piping is eliminated from this amendment. Basin L11B represents additional flow to design point 25 not accommodated in the original report. New calculations are attached indicating the provided stub to design point 25 is adequate to carry this additional flow.

The balance of original basin L11, now defined as L11A continues to flow to the original collection stub at design point 14. Since flow is reduced by basin L11B, the system downstream is adequate to accommodate this revision.

While basins L13 thru L15 experience minor changes to lot line locations, runoff to the main line system remains un-changed.

## **IV CONCLUSIONS**

The existing storm sewer system is adequate to carry amended flows as illustrated by the attached calculations. No additional analysis is needed for the detention and water quality facility as overall runoff and imperviousness is unchanged.

Perception Design Group, Inc.  
 6901 South Pierce Street, Suite 315  
 Littleton, Colorado 80128  
 (303) 232-8088 Fax (303) 232-5255

Designed by: JWD  
 Checked by: JWD  
 Date: 18-Sep-17  
 Job Number: 2015-015

Project: Parker Pointe

**RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**

**(RATIONAL METHOD)**

Design Storm: 5-Yr.

		Direct Runoff						
Design	Basin	Area	Runoff	CA	Tc	I	Q	
Point	Desig.	(Acres)	Coefficient		(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	
	H1	10.52	0.09	0.95	26.0	2.30	2.18	
	L1	0.71	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.70	
	L2	0.50	0.81	0.41	5.0	4.70	1.90	
	L2A	0.19	0.81	0.15	5.0	4.70	0.72	
	L3	0.43	0.81	0.35	5.0	4.70	1.64	
	L3A	0.16	0.81	0.13	5.0	4.70	0.61	
	L4	0.63	0.81	0.51	5.0	4.70	2.40	
	L4A	0.24	0.81	0.19	5.0	4.70	0.91	
	L5	0.63	0.81	0.51	5.0	4.70	2.40	
	L5A	0.24	0.81	0.19	5.0	4.70	0.91	
	L6	0.78	0.81	0.63	5.0	4.70	2.97	
	L7	0.68	0.81	0.55	5.0	4.70	2.59	
	L8	0.87	0.81	0.70	5.0	4.70	3.31	
	L9	0.71	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.70	
	L10	0.88	0.81	0.71	5.0	4.70	3.35	
	L11A	0.50	0.81	0.41	5.0	4.70	1.90	
	L11B	0.42	0.81	0.34	5.0	4.70	1.60	
	L12	0.56	0.81	0.45	5.0	4.70	2.13	
	L13	0.73	0.81	0.59	5.0	4.70	2.78	
	L14	0.73	0.81	0.59	5.0	4.70	2.78	
	L15	0.72	0.81	0.58	5.0	4.70	2.74	
	IN1	0.26	0.90	0.23	5.0	4.70	1.10	
	IN2	0.53	0.90	0.48	5.0	4.70	2.24	
	IN3	0.11	0.9	0.10	5.0	4.70	0.47	
	SR1	3.75	0.18	0.68	22.4	2.60	1.76	
	SR2	0.32	0.87	0.28	5.0	4.70	1.31	
	PR1	0.42	0.77	0.32	5.0	4.70	1.52	
	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	4.70	4.11	
	U1	1.37	0.09	0.12	5.0	4.70	0.58	
	U2	0.3	0.74	0.22	5.0	4.70	1.04	
	U3	0.17	0.09	0.02	5.0	4.70	0.07	
	U4	0.23	0.58	0.13	5.0	4.70	0.63	
	OS1	23.34	0.13	3.03	25.5	2.50	7.59	

Perception Design Group, Inc.  
 6901 South Pierce Street, Suite 315  
 Littleton, Colorado 80128  
 (303) 232-8088 Fax (303) 232-5255

Designed by: JWD  
 Checked by: JWD  
 Date: 18-Sep-17  
 Job Number: 2015-015

Project: Parker Pointe

**RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**  
**(RATIONAL METHOD)**

Design Storm: 100-Yr.

		Direct Runoff						
Design	Basin	Area	Runoff	CA	Tc	I	Q	
Point	Desig.	(Acres)	Coefficient		(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	
	H1	10.52	0.36	3.79	26.0	4.50	17.04	
	L1	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53	
	L2	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89	
	L2A	0.19	0.88	0.17	5.0	8.85	1.48	
	L3	0.43	0.88	0.38	5.0	8.85	3.35	
	L3A	0.16	0.88	0.14	5.0	8.85	1.25	
	L4	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91	
	L4A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87	
	L5	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91	
	L5A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87	
	L6	0.78	0.88	0.69	5.0	8.85	6.07	
	L7	0.68	0.88	0.60	5.0	8.85	5.30	
	L8	0.87	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.78	
	L9	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53	
	L10	0.88	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.85	
	L11A	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89	
	L11B	0.42	0.88	0.37	5.0	8.85	3.27	
	L12	0.56	0.88	0.49	5.0	8.85	4.36	
	L13	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69	
	L14	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69	
	L15	0.72	0.88	0.63	5.0	8.85	5.61	
	IN1	0.26	0.96	0.25	5.0	8.85	2.21	
	IN2	0.53	0.96	0.51	5.0	8.85	4.50	
	IN3	0.11	0.96	0.11	5.0	8.85	0.93	
	SR1	3.75	0.42	1.58	22.4	4.90	7.72	
TOTAL FLOW TO FOREBAY								103.45
	OS1	23.34	0.39	9.10	25.5	4.50	40.96	
TOTAL TO POND		39.30					144.41	
	U1	1.37	0.36	0.49	25.5	4.50	2.22	
	U2	0.3	0.84	0.25	25.5	4.50	1.13	
	U3	0.17	0.36	0.06	25.5	4.50	0.28	
	U4	0.23	0.73	0.17	25.5	4.50	0.76	
UN-CAPTURED SITE RUNOFF								4.38
	SR2	0.32	0.94	0.30	5.0	8.85	2.66	
	PR1	0.42	0.86	0.36	5.0	8.85	3.20	
	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	8.85	7.73	

SEE SHEET DP3

STROH ROAD



100 YEAR RUNOFF SUMMARY


Design Point	Basin Desig.	Area (Acres)	Runoff Coefficient	CA	Tc (min)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)
	H1	10.52	0.36	3.79	26.0	4.50	17.04
	L1	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53
	L2	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89
	L2A	0.19	0.88	0.17	5.0	8.85	1.48
	L3	0.43	0.88	0.38	5.0	8.85	3.35
	L3A	0.16	0.88	0.14	5.0	8.85	1.25
	L4	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91
	L4A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87
	L5	0.63	0.88	0.55	5.0	8.85	4.91
	L5A	0.24	0.88	0.21	5.0	8.85	1.87
	L6	0.78	0.88	0.69	5.0	8.85	6.07
	L7	0.68	0.88	0.60	5.0	8.85	5.30
	L8	0.87	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.78
	L9	0.71	0.88	0.62	5.0	8.85	5.53
	L10	0.88	0.88	0.77	5.0	8.85	6.85
	L11A	0.50	0.88	0.44	5.0	8.85	3.89
	L11B	0.42	0.88	0.37	5.0	8.85	3.27
	L12	0.56	0.88	0.49	5.0	8.85	4.36
	L13	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69
	L14	0.73	0.88	0.64	5.0	8.85	5.69
	L15	0.72	0.88	0.63	5.0	8.85	5.61
	IN1	0.26	0.96	0.25	5.0	8.85	2.21
	IN2	0.53	0.96	0.51	5.0	8.85	4.50
	IN3	0.11	0.96	0.11	5.0	8.85	0.93
	SR1	3.75	0.42	1.58	22.4	4.90	7.72
TOTAL FLOW TO FOREBAY							103.45
	OS1	23.34	0.39	9.10	25.5	4.50	40.96
TOTAL TO POND		39.30					144.41
	U1	1.37	0.36	0.49	25.5	4.50	2.22
	U2	0.31	0.84	0.25	25.5	4.50	1.13
	U3	0.17	0.36	0.06	25.5	4.50	0.28
	U4	0.23	0.73	0.17	25.5	4.50	0.76
UN-CAPTURED SITE RUNOFF							4.38
	SR2	0.32	0.94	0.30	5.0	8.85	2.66
	PR1	0.42	0.86	0.36	5.0	8.85	3.20
	PR2	0.91	0.96	0.87	5.0	8.85	7.73

DETENTION SUMMARY


ZONE	VOLUME	ELEVATION	RELEASE RATE
WOCV	0.566 AC-FT		41 HOURS
EURV+WOCV	1.472 AC-FT	5968.03	70 HOURS
100 YEAR	2.753 AC-FT	5970.05	36.7 CFS

LEGEND

- 5340 --- EXISTING CONTOUR
- 42 --- PROPOSED CONTOUR
- R1** BASIN DESIGNATION
- 0.20 0.75 0.83 5 YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- 100 YR RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- BASIN AREA
- BASIN BOUNDARY LINE
- △ DESIGN POINT



GRAPHIC SCALE



BENCHMARK

BENCHMARK: DOUGLAS COUNTY SURVEY CONTROL MONUMENT TT15A - 3" DIAMETER DOUGLAS COUNTY GIS ALUMINUM CAP AT THE NE CORNER OF STROH ROAD AND SOUTH PARKER ROAD (US HIGHWAY 63)  
ELEVATION: 5970.79 FEET (NAVD 1988 DATUM)

THE TOWN OF PARKER REVIEW CONSTITUTES GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH THE TOWN'S STANDARDS AND APPROVED VARIANCES, SUBJECT TO THESE PLANS BEING STAMPED, SIGNED, AND DATED BY THE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OF RECORD. REVIEW BY THE TOWN DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF THE PLAN DESIGN OR ACCURACY OR CORRECTNESS OF ENGINEERING CALCULATIONS. ERRORS IN THE DESIGN OR CALCULATIONS REMAIN THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WHOSE STAMP AND SIGNATURE ARE AFFIXED TO THIS DOCUMENT.

THIS REVIEW DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OF ANY PRIVATE ON-SITE IMPROVEMENTS WHICH MAY BE SHOWN. CONSTRUCTION CANNOT COMMENCE UNTIL ALL REQUIRED DRAINAGE/TRAFFIC REPORT(S), FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN(S), SPECIAL REVIEW(S), GRADING PERMIT, AND/OR OTHER PERMITS ARE COMPLETE, APPROVED AND ON THE FILE WITH THE TOWN OF PARKER.

TOWN OF PARKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

DATE

DRAINAGE PLAN WEST

PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECT SUPERVISION OF JERRY W. DAVIDSON, P.E. COLORADO REG # 30226 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF PERCEPTION DESIGN GROUP, INC.

DATE	DESCRIPTION
12/14/23	2ND REPEAT SUBMITTAL
08/01/23	SECOND CDOT NTP SUBMITTAL
04/21/23	CDOT NTP SUBMITTAL / RE-PLAY SUBMITTAL
04/11/22	RESUBMITTAL
11/01/18	SIXTH SUBMITTAL
08/31/18	FOURTH SUBMITTAL
05/25/18	THIRD SUBMITTAL
10/24/17	INITIAL SUBMITTAL

PARKER POINTE  
LOTS 1 THRU 15 AND TRACTS A AND B, PARKER POINTE FILING NO. 1  
SOUTHEAST CORNER PARKER ROAD AND STROH ROAD  
PARKER, COLORADO

design by: JWD  
approved by: JWD  
project no.: 2015-015

date: 10/01/17

SHEET

DP2

