

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

LINCOLN & DRANSFELDT

July 30, 2021
Revised November 17, 2021
Revised April 8, 2022

Prepared for:

Plaza Street Partners
2400 W 75th St, Suite 220
Prairie Village, KS 66208

Prepared by:



Rachel Patton, P.E.
1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1000
Denver, CO 80203
Ph: 303-623-6300
Fax: 303-623-6311

Town of Parker Project Number: SUB21-055
HKS Project No. 200829

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
ENGINEER CERTIFICATION.....	iii
1. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	1
A. Location.....	1
B. Description of Property.....	1
2. MAJOR DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS	1
A. Major Basin Description.....	1
B. Sub-basin Description	1
3. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA	4
A. Regulations	4
B. Town of Parker Stream Protection Standards	4
C. Development Criteria References and Constraints	4
D. Hydrologic Criteria	4
E. Hydraulic Criteria	5
F. Variance from Criteria.....	5
4. DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN	5
A. General Concept	5
B. Specific Details	5
C. Outlet Works.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
D. Storm Sewer System	6
5. Environmental Protection Criteria.....	6
A. General.....	6
B. Construction BMP Plan	7
C. Permanent BMP Plan.....	7
6. CONCLUSIONS	7
A. Compliance with Standards	7
B. Drainage Concept.....	7
C. Sediment and Erosion Control Concept.....	7
7. LIST OF REFERENCES.....	8
APPENDIX A – Vicinity Map, FIRM, Geotechnical Report	1
APPENDIX B – Hydrologic Computations	2
APPENDIX C – Hydraulic Computations	3
APPENDIX D – Final Drainage Plan.....	4

ENGINEER CERTIFICATION

This report for the final design of Lincoln & Dransfeldt was prepared by me or under my direct supervision in accordance with the provisions of the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual. I understand that the Town of Parker and its designated town authority do not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

By: Rachel C. Patton
Licensed Professional Engineer
State of Colorado
No. 54450
On behalf of Harris Kocher Smith

1. GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

A. Location

The Lincoln & Dransfeldt development (herein referred to as "Site") lies within the Town of Parker and County of Douglas. The Site is bounded by existing commercial development to the east and south and Lincoln Avenue to the north and Dransfeldt Road to the west.

The Site is more particularly situated in the Southwest $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 15, Township 6 South, Range 66 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of Douglas, State of Colorado.

A Vicinity Map is included in Appendix A.

B. Description of Property

The planned Lincoln & Dransfeldt development will contain a gas station, restaurants and retail.

The Site is approximately 7.27 acres. The entire Site will be disturbed by demolition, excavation, grading, utility installation and other construction activities.

Currently, the Site is an empty lot with an existing drainage ditch that runs east to west along the northern property line. The existing grades on Site slope generally from east to west, at approximately 2.5%.

There is an existing drainage ditch that runs along the northern property line that will be rerouted via proposed storm infrastructure. There are no existing major irrigation facilities such as ditches or canals located on the Site.

There is no known contamination on site. The contractor will be responsible for monitoring for contamination throughout the construction and any required remediation will occur immediately.

The hydrologic soil group for the Site consists of Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Type B and C soil. Type C/D was used for conservative design purposes.

2. MAJOR DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS

A. Major Basin Description

The site is located within the Cherry Creek 4600 basin, an area of minimal flood hazard as defined by MHFD. The Site is shown to be in a Zone X (unshaded) Flood Area according to FIRM Map 08035C0067G County of Douglas, Colorado March 16, 2016 (See FIRM map in Appendix A). Zone X (unshaded), as depicted on the aforementioned Map, is described by FEMA as "Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual change floodplain". A copy of the FIRM Map is included in Appendix A for reference.

B. Sub-basin Description

Existing runoff from the Site flows overland to an existing storm culvert at the northwest corner of the property. The culvert ties into an existing storm sewer infrastructure and conveys flows to the west.

The following section describes in detail the on-site drainage basins.

Basin A (0.73 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin A will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure.

Basin B (1.31 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin B will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. A proposed manhole with a grated lid has been provided for a future connection.

Basin C (1.26 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin C will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure.

Basin D (0.78 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin D will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. A proposed manhole with a grated lid has been provided for a future connection.

Basin E (0.98 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin E will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. A proposed manhole with a grated lid has been provided for a future connection.

Basin F (0.47 acres) consists of commercial area, with an assumed impervious of 95%. Runoff from Basin F will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. A proposed manhole with a grated lid has been provided for a future connection.

Basin G (0.68 acres) consists of the proposed extended detention basin, with an assumed impervious of 7%. Runoff from this basin will be routed from the outlet structure to the existing storm infrastructure.

Basin RAB1 (0.06 acres) consists of portions of proposed Roads A & B, with a calculated impervious of 98%. Runoff from Basin RAB1 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet A6.

Basin RAB2 (0.12 acres) consists of portions of proposed Roads A & B, with a calculated impervious of 97%. Runoff from Basin RAB2 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet D4.

Basin RB1 (0.07 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road B, with a calculated impervious of 97%. Runoff from Basin RB1 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet F2.

Basin RB2 (0.07 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road B, with a calculated impervious of 97%. Runoff from Basin RB2 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet F2.

Basin RB3 (0.05 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road B, with a calculated impervious of 96%. Runoff from Basin RB3 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet C1.

Basin RA1 (0.05 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 96%. Runoff from Basin RA1 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet A7.

Basin RA2 (0.01 acres) consists of a portion proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 100%. Runoff from Basin RA2 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet D1.

Basin RA3 (0.09 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 97%. Runoff from Basin RA3 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet C1.

Basin RA4 (0.09 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 97%. Runoff from Basin RA4 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet A3.

Basin RA5 (0.14 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 96%. Runoff from Basin RA5 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet B1.

Basin RA6 (0.14 acres) consists of a portion of proposed Road A, with a calculated impervious of 98%. Runoff from Basin RA6 will runoff to the proposed curb and gutter and will be routed to the proposed detention basin via the proposed storm infrastructure. Runoff from this basin will be captured by Inlet A1.

3. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

A. Regulations

The principal design criteria used for this Study was the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual revised February 2014.

In addition, the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1-3 for Mile High Flood District (MHFD) was also consulted.

B. Town of Parker Stream Protection Standards

Compliance with the Town of Parker's Stream Protection Standards is not applicable because there are no "natural drainage systems", as defined by the Parker Criteria.

C. Development Criteria References and Constraints

The principal design guidelines that will be sourced for this Site development are the Town of Parker Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual (hereinafter referred to as "Parker Criteria") and the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, January 2016 (Volume 1&2) and November 2010 (Volume 3) (hereinafter referred to as "District Manual").

The drainage design will be affected by the proposed grading, building outline and legal boundaries of the Site. In order to conform to existing grades at property boundaries, the 2009 International Building Code, and Geotechnical Report recommendations, areas of the Site consisting of small swaths of walks and landscaping are to drain away from the building and off-site to the existing right-of-way, undetained and untreated.

D. Hydrologic Criteria

The following formula, from the District Manual, was used to determine rainfall intensities:

$$I = \frac{28.5P_1}{(10 + T_C)^{0.786}}$$

One-hour rainfall P_1 values were taken from Table 5.1 in Parker Criteria. The P_1 values for the 2-year and 100-year storms are 0.99 inches and 2.60 inches, respectively.

As previously mentioned, the hydrologic soil group of the Site is assumed to be comprised of NRCS Type C and D soil for conservative design purposes.

The Rational Method, as presented in the District Manual, was used to calculate the maximum rate of runoff for the minor and major storm events. "C" coefficients were taken from Table 6-4 of the District Manual. Rational Method calculation results, including composite C-values, time of concentration, and flow rates can be found in Appendix C.

For the Final Drainage Report, the 2-year and 100-year storm events have been analyzed, from Table 3-1 of the District Manual. The 2-year storm is considered the minor event and the 100-year storm is considered the major event.

The maximum allowable unit flow release rates (cfs/acre) for on-site detention facilities were obtained from Equation 12-5 from Volume 2 Chapter 12 of the District Manual. The maximum allowable unit release rate is equal to 90 percent of the predevelopment discharge for the upstream watershed. The WQCV will be released using a cap with an orifice designed to release the storm using a 40-hour drain time. Calculations are in Appendix D.

Other criteria or calculation methods outside of Parker Criteria were not used in the hydrologic design for the Site.

E. Hydraulic Criteria

Hydraulic capacity for proposed storm sewer system was designed in accordance with the Parker Criteria and the Streets/Inlets/Storm Sewers chapter of the District Manual.

The routing method for the proposed storm sewer system was designed in accordance with the Parker Criteria and the Streets/Inlets/Storm Sewers chapter of the District Manual. StormCAD software will be used to calculate storm sewer capacity and hydraulic grade lines.

Hydraulic grade lines were designed in accordance with the Parker Criteria. During the initial storm event, the hydraulic grade line must be located below the crown of the pipe. For the major storm event, the hydraulic grade must be located 12 inches below finished grade as a maximum condition.

Other criteria or calculation methods outside of Parker Criteria was not used in the drainage facility design for the Site.

The Water Quality Control Volume (WQCV) treatment system and release rate was designed in accordance with Volume 3 of the District Manual. WQCV calculations for the Site can be found in Appendix D.

F. Variance from Criteria

There are no requested variances from Criteria.

4. DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

A. General Concept

The general drainage concept for the Site is to capture runoff and route the runoff through proposed curb and gutter and storm sewer infrastructure to an extended detention basin where runoff will be treated and detained. The Extended Detention will have an overflow to the public roadway.

B. Specific Details

There is an existing 36"x24" HERCP storm sewer conveyance system underneath Dransfeldt Road that flows from east to west. The system includes street drainage, inlets, and manholes. There are no existing stormwater storage facilities located on the Site.

Once captured, runoff will be routed to an extended detention basin. Runoff captured from Basins A-F will be routed into the detention basin. Runoff will then be treated, detained and released to the public storm infrastructure. See Appendix D for calculations.

An assumed imperviousness of 95% for commercial areas was used in the design of the drainage infrastructure based on Table 6-3 in Volume 1 Chapter 6 of the District Criteria. The total required volume is provided based on the UD-Detention Design Spreadsheet.

Additional volume was provided to allow for construction tolerance in the field.

EXTENDED DETENTION BASIN SUMMARY TABLE		
STAGE – STORAGE DESCRIPTION	VOLUME REQUIRED	VOLUME PROVIDED
	[AC-FT]	[AC-FT]
WQCV	0.271	0.271
EURV	0.417	0.417
100-YEAR	0.285	0.285
TOTAL	0.973	1.282

Details and information regarding the Extended Detention Basin can be found in the Appendices.

This site is categorized as a tier 3 development based on the Cherry Creek tiered water quality requirements because the total disturbed area is over one acre. Based on Town of Parker standards (section 8.3), “Tier three new development and redevelopment must install and operated PBMPs that provide WQCV designed and constructed to capture and treat, at a minimum, the 80th percentile runoff event, in accordance with this SDECM and the MANUAL Volume 3”.

C. Storm Sewer System

Storm sewer pipes and inlets were modeled in StormCAD using both Town of Parker and MHFD storm drainage standards to ensure the 5-year and 100-year storm criteria were met. Improvements will not impact receiving structures or downstream properties to any greater extents than allowed by regulation or consistent with prior study.

5. Environmental Protection Criteria

A. General

There are no wetland areas or waters of the U.S. situated within the Site. There are no anticipated impacts on threatened and endangered species or presence of Habitat Protection Areas and Stream Restoration Areas.

A Stormwater discharge permit will be acquired through the Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) in addition to a grading permit granted by the Town of Parker.

B. Construction BMP Plan

CBMPs are required to be constructed for use before, during and immediately after the phases of construction. All initial phase CBMPs must be approved and installed prior to the issuance of a grading permit from the Town of Parker. The primary purpose of the CBMPs to be implemented is erosion and sediment control and to contain land disturbing activities onsite. Example of some of the CBMPs to be utilized are silt fencing, sediment basins, vehicle tracking control, seeding and mulching, etc. CBMP Plans will be submitted and approved by the Town of Parker prior to land disturbance.

C. Permanent BMP Plan

A permanent BMP Plan will be required for the Site since there is an on-site detention basin.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A. Compliance with Standards

Drainage design for the Site was performed in accordance with Parker Criteria, except for when instructed to by said Standard.

Major Drainageway Planning Studies did not implicate specific design requirements to the Site.

The District Manual was used for the design of the Site only when instructed to by Parker Criteria.

B. Drainage Concept

The proposed development will have no negative drainage impacts on upstream and downstream properties.

The proposed development will not influence any master drainage plan recommendations.

C. Sediment and Erosion Control Concept


As designed, the proposed CBMP plan satisfies all requirements to obtain a stormwater discharge permit from the CDPS. The CBMP plan also satisfies, at a minimum, all requirements set forth by the Town of Parker and MHFD. All stormwater runoff generated by the Site during construction will be treated by CBMPs. The effect of land disturbing activities on adjacent development and right-of-ways is minimized through the use of various CBMPs.

7. LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Storm Drainage and Environmental Criteria Manual, Town of Parker, Colorado; revised February 2014.
2. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol. 1 & 2, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, January 2016.
3. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Vol. 3, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, November 2010.
4. Flood Insurance Rate Map, City and County of Denver, Colorado, Map #0800460201G, FEMA, revised November 17th, 2005.

APPENDIX A – Vicinity Map, FIRM, Geotechnical Report

Plotted: FRI 07/30/21 4:54:02P By: Olivia McCracken Filepath: k:\200829\engineering\ref\vic map.dwg Layout: layout1



SCALE: 1" = 1000'

LINCOLN & DRANSFELDT

VICINITY MAP

PROJECT #: 200829
SHEET NUMBER

1

1 OF 1

HKS HARRIS KOCHER SMITH
 1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1000
 Denver, Colorado 80203
 P: 303.623.6300 F: 303.623.6311
 HarrisKocherSmith.com



United States
Department of
Agriculture

NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Castle Rock Area, Colorado



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	11
Map Unit Descriptions.....	11
Castle Rock Area, Colorado.....	13
BrB—Bresser sandy loam, cool, 1 to 3 percent slopes.....	13
Lo—Loamy alluvial land.....	14
Sa—Sampson loam.....	15
Soil Information for All Uses	18
Soil Properties and Qualities.....	18
Soil Erosion Factors.....	18
Wind Erodibility Index.....	18
Soil Qualities and Features.....	21
Hydrologic Soil Group.....	21
References	26

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

Custom Soil Resource Report

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and


Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map


The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map





MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


Soils


 Soil Map Unit Polygons


 Soil Map Unit Lines


 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

 Blowout

 Borrow Pit


 Clay Spot

 Closed Depression

 Gravel Pit

 Gravelly Spot


 Landfill

 Lava Flow

 Marsh or swamp

 Mine or Quarry

 Miscellaneous Water


 Perennial Water

 Rock Outcrop


 Saline Spot

 Sandy Spot

 Severely Eroded Spot


 Sinkhole


 Slide or Slip


 Sodic Spot


 Spoil Area

 Stony Spot


 Very Stony Spot

 Wet Spot

 Other

 Special Line Features

Water Features

 Streams and Canals


Transportation

 Rails


 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 13, Jun 5, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 10, 2014—Dec 4, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BrB	Bresser sandy loam, cool, 1 to 3 percent slopes	7.8	89.4%
Lo	Loamy alluvial land	0.4	5.0%
Sa	Sampson loam	0.5	5.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		8.8	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

Custom Soil Resource Report

development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Castle Rock Area, Colorado

BrB—Bresser sandy loam, cool, 1 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2t1pj
Elevation: 5,500 to 6,500 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 100 to 130 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Bresser, cool, and similar soils: 90 percent
Minor components: 10 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Bresser, Cool

Setting

Landform: Hillslopes, terraces
Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Tertiary aged alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam
Bt1 - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam
Bt2 - 8 to 27 inches: sandy clay loam
Bt3 - 27 to 36 inches: sandy loam
C - 36 to 80 inches: loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.60 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.1 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Sampson

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans
Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R049XC202CO - Loamy Foothill 14-19 P.Z.
Hydric soil rating: No

Truckton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Hillslopes, terraces
Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill
Hydric soil rating: No

Lo—Loamy alluvial land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jqzb
Elevation: 7,000 to 8,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 17 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 44 to 46 degrees F
Frost-free period: 115 to 120 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Loamy alluvial land: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Loamy Alluvial Land

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, swales
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: sandy loam
H2 - 20 to 40 inches: stratified loamy sand to clay loam
H3 - 40 to 60 inches: sand and gravel

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 5 percent
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.20 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 48 to 72 inches
Frequency of flooding: FrequentNone
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to slightly saline (0.0 to 4.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R049XY036CO - Overflow
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 7 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Sampson

Percent of map unit: 7 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Sandy alluvial land

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Fluvaquentic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Terraces
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Sa—Sampson loam

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: jr02
Elevation: 5,500 to 6,600 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 120 to 135 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Sampson and similar soils: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Sampson

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces on drainageways
Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Weathered alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 9 inches: loam
H2 - 9 to 28 inches: clay loam
H3 - 28 to 38 inches: loam
H4 - 38 to 60 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: High (about 9.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3c
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R049XC202CO - Loamy Foothill 14-19 P.Z.
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Englewood

Percent of map unit: 8 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 7 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Loamy alluvial land

Percent of map unit: 4 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Aquic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Swales
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Custom Soil Resource Report

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Erosion Factors

Soil Erosion Factors are soil properties and interpretations used in evaluating the soil for potential erosion. Example soil erosion factors can include K factor for the whole soil or on a rock free basis, T factor, wind erodibility group and wind erodibility index.


Wind Erodiability Index

The wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

Custom Soil Resource Report Map—Wind Erodibility Index




Map Scale: 1:1,430 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84













MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)










 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils













Soil Rating Polygons

	0
	38
	48
	56
	86
	134
	160
	180
	220
	250
	310
	Not rated or not available


Soil Rating Lines

	0
	38
	48
	56
	86
	134
	160
	180
	220


Soil Rating Points


	0
	38
	48
	56
	86
	134
	160
	180
	220
	250
	310
	Not rated or not available


Water Features


 Streams and Canals


Transportation

 Rails


 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 13, Jun 5, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 10, 2014—Dec 4, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Wind Erodibility Index

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (tons per acre per year)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BrB	Bresser sandy loam, cool, 1 to 3 percent slopes	86	7.8	89.4%
Lo	Loamy alluvial land	86	0.4	5.0%
Sa	Sampson loam	48	0.5	5.6%
Totals for Area of Interest			8.8	100.0%

Rating Options—Wind Erodibility Index

Units of Measure: tons per acre per year
Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified
Tie-break Rule: Higher

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained

Custom Soil Resource Report


soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.


Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Custom Soil Resource Report
Map—Hydrologic Soil Group




Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)









 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons





-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines


-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points






-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D

-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available


Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Castle Rock Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 13, Jun 5, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 10, 2014—Dec 4, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BrB	Bresser sandy loam, cool, 1 to 3 percent slopes	B	7.8	89.4%
Lo	Loamy alluvial land	C	0.4	5.0%
Sa	Sampson loam	B	0.5	5.6%
Totals for Area of Interest			8.8	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

APPENDIX B – Hydrologic Computations

Project Name: Lincoln & Dransfeldt
Composite C-Value Computations
Post-Development

Project No: 200829
Date: 07/30/21
Revised: 04/08/22
Design by: ORM
Checked by: RCP
NRCS Soil Group C/D

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (ACRES)	ROOFS (90%)	CONCRETE DRIVES & WALKS (90%)	STREETS (100%)	LANDSCAPE AREA (2%)	PERCENT IMPERVIOUS	C ₅ =	C ₁₀₀ =
A	0.73					95%	0.81	0.87
B	1.31					95%	0.81	0.87
C	1.26					95%	0.81	0.87
D	0.78					95%	0.81	0.87
E	0.98					95%	0.81	0.87
F	0.47					95%	0.81	0.87
G	0.68	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.64	7%	0.09	0.51
RAB1	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	98%	0.84	0.89
RAB2	0.12	0.00	0.04	0.08	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
RB1	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
RB2	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
RB3	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	96%	0.82	0.88
RA1	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	96%	0.82	0.88
RA2	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	100%	0.86	0.89
RA3	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
RA4	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
RA5	0.14	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.00	96%	0.83	0.88
RA6	0.14	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.00	96%	0.83	0.88
OFF-SITE TO EX POND								
EX-1	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.26	41%	0.37	0.65
EX-2	2.38					100%	0.86	0.89
OFF-SITE RUNOFF								
OS-1	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	96%	0.82	0.88
OS-2	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	2%	0.05	0.49
OS-3	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	97%	0.83	0.88
Total Runoff Off-Site	0.16							
Total Treated	7.10					82%	0.71	0.82

*Percent Impervious for Basins A-F determined from USDCM Vol 1 Table 6-3

Runoff Coefficient Equations (from USDCM Vol. 1)

NRCS Soil Group C/D
5-year 0.82(i)+0.035
100-year 0.41(i)+0.484

Project Name: Lincoln & Dransfeldt
 Project No: 200829
 Date: 07/30/21
 Revised: 04/08/22

**STANDARD FORM SF-2
 TIME OF CONCENTRATION
 Post-Development**

Designed By: ORM
 Checked By: RCP

SUB-BASIN DATA			INITIAL/OVERLAND TIME (Ti)			TRAVEL TIME (Tt)					Tc CHECK (URBANIZED BASINS)			FINAL	REMARKS
BASIN	AREA (AC)	C _s	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE %	Ti (MIN)	LENGTH (FT)	SLOPE %	C _v	VELOCITY (FPS)	Tt (MIN)	COMPOS. Tc (MIN)	TOTAL LENGTH	Tc = (L/180) + 10 (MIN)	Tc (MIN)	
A	0.73	0.81	62.5	2.00	3.29	219.9	2.00	20	2.83	1.30	5.00	282	11.57	5.00	
B	1.31	0.81	150	2.00	5.10	142.81	2.00	20	2.83	0.84	5.94	293	11.63	5.94	
C	1.26	0.81	102.6	2.00	4.22	241	2.00	20	2.83	1.42	5.64	344	11.91	5.64	
D	0.78	0.81	150	2.00	5.10	238.9	2.00	20	2.83	1.41	6.50	389	12.16	6.50	
E	0.98	0.81	150	2.00	5.10	318.9	2.00	20	2.83	1.88	6.98	469	12.61	6.98	
F	0.47	0.81	25	2.00	2.08	76	2.00	20	2.83	0.45	5.00	101	10.56	5.00	
G	0.68	0.09	87	2.00	13.66	244	2.00	7	0.99	4.11	17.76	331	11.84	11.84	
RAB1	0.06	0.84	20.5	2.00	1.70	75	1.00	20	2.00	0.63	5.00	96	10.53	5.00	
RAB2	0.12	0.83	20.5	2.00	1.79	210	2.50	20	3.16	1.11	5.00	231	11.28	5.00	
RB1	0.07	0.83	15	2.00	1.51	89	1.00	20	2.00	0.74	5.00	104	10.58	5.00	
RB2	0.07	0.83	15	2.00	1.51	89	1.00	20	2.00	0.74	5.00	104	10.58	5.00	
RB3	0.05	0.82	15	2.00	1.57	244	2.50	20	3.16	1.29	5.00	259	11.44	5.00	
RA1	0.05	0.82	15	2.00	1.57	105	1.00	20	2.00	0.88	5.00	120	10.67	5.00	
RA2	0.01	0.86	15	2.00	1.38	40	2.30	20	3.03	0.22	5.00	55	10.31	5.00	
RA3	0.09	0.83	15	2.00	1.53	180	2.30	20	3.03	0.99	5.00	195	11.08	5.00	
RA4	0.09	0.83	15	2.00	1.53	180	2.30	20	3.03	0.99	5.00	195	11.08	5.00	
RA5	0.14	0.83	15	2.00	1.55	200	2.30	20	3.03	1.10	5.00	215	11.19	5.00	
RA6	0.14	0.83	15	2.00	1.55	200	2.30	20	3.03	1.10	5.00	215	11.19	5.00	
OFF-SITE TO EX POND															
EX-1	0.43	0.37	40	2.00	6.73	240	2.30	20	3.03	1.32	8.05	280	11.56	8.05	
EX-2	0.14	0.86	60	2.00	2.76	450	2.30	20	3.03	2.47	5.23	510	12.83	5.23	
OFF-SITE RUNOFF															
OS-1	0.05	0.82	15	2.00	1.57	50	1.00	20	2.00	0.42	5.00	65	10.36	5.00	
OS-2	0.05	0.00	0	2.00	0.00	40	2.00	7	0.99	0.67	0.00	40	0.00	5.00	
OS-3	0.06	0.83	15	2.00	1.53	50	1.00	20	2.00	0.42	5.00	65	10.36	5.00	


1-HR Rainfall

<u>Return Interval (YR)</u>	<u>1-hour Rainfall</u>
2	0.99
5	1.37
10	1.64
100	2.6

APPENDIX C – Hydraulic Computations




DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	INLET F2 (BASIN RB1)	INLET A3 (BASIN RA4)	INLET B1 (BASIN RA5)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump	On Grade	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.02	0.03	0.06
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.03	0.05	0.11

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.1
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.1	0.1
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	N/A	0.0	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	N/A	0.0	N/A

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	INLET C1 (BASIN RA3)	INLET A1 (BASIN RA6)	INLET F1 (BASIN RB2)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	In Sump	On Grade
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.01	0.05	0.02
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.01	0.1	0.0

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	User-Defined	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	2.6	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	9.8	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	2.7	0.0
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	9.9	0.0
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	0.0
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	0.0

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	INLET A6 (BASIN RAB1)	INLET D3 (BASIN RB3)	INLET A7 (BASIN RA1)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.01	0.01	0.02
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	N/A

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	INLET D1 (BASIN RA2)	INLET D4 (BASIN RAB2)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition		In Sump
Inlet Type		CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.02	0.04
Major Q_{Known} (cfs)	0.0	0.1

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)		
Percent Impervious		
NRCS Soil Type		

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)		
Overland Length (ft)		
Channel Slope (ft/ft)		
Channel Length (ft)		

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)		

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)		
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)		


CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.0
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.0	0.1
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)		N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)		N/A

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: LINCOLN & DRANSFELDT
Inlet ID: INLET F2 (BASIN RB1)



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	=	5.0	ft
S_{BACK}	=	0.015	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	=	0.013	
H_{CURB}	=	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	=	15.0	ft
W	=	2.00	ft
S_X	=	0.020	ft/ft
S_Y	=	0.083	ft/ft
S_0	=	0.000	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	=	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions


		Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	=	15.0	15.0	ft
d_{MAX}	=	6.0	12.0	inches
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

		Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	=	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	5.1	5.1	inches
Grate Information			
Length of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			
Depth for Grate Midwidth	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	0.26	0.26	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	0.66	0.66	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	3.7	3.7	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>0 PEAK)	0.0	0.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)
 (Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


Project: LINCOLN & DRANSFELDT
 Inlet ID: INLET A3 (BASIN RA4)



Gutter Geometry:									
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft								
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.015$ ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.013$								
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches								
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 15.0$ ft								
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft								
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft								
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft								
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_o = 0.010$ ft/ft								
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.016$								
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td>T_{MAX}</td> <td>15.0</td> <td>15.0</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		T_{MAX}	15.0	15.0	ft
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
T_{MAX}	15.0	15.0	ft						
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td>d_{MAX}</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		d_{MAX}	6.0	12.0	inches
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
d_{MAX}	6.0	12.0	inches						
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)	<table border="1"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion									
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion									
Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'									
Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'									
Q_{allow}	<table border="1"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm			8.0	8.0	cfs
	Minor Storm	Major Storm							
	8.0	8.0	cfs						

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input) Type of Inlet: CDOT Type R Curb Opening Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a') Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width) Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5) Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Type =</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">CDOT Type R Curb Opening</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>a_{LOCAL} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.0</td> <td style="text-align: right;">inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>L_o =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td style="text-align: right;">ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W_o =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: right;">ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C_{r-G} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>C_{-C} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.03</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.05</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_b =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td style="text-align: right;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C% =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: right;">%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		MINOR	MAJOR		Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches	No =	1	1		L _o =	5.00	5.00	ft	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft	C _{r-G} =	N/A	N/A		C _{-C} =	0.10	0.10			MINOR	MAJOR		Q =	0.03	0.05	cfs	Q_b =	0.0	0.0	cfs	C% =	100	100	%
	MINOR	MAJOR																																															
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening																																																
a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches																																														
No =	1	1																																															
L _o =	5.00	5.00	ft																																														
W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft																																														
C _{r-G} =	N/A	N/A																																															
C _{-C} =	0.10	0.10																																															
	MINOR	MAJOR																																															
Q =	0.03	0.05	cfs																																														
Q_b =	0.0	0.0	cfs																																														
C% =	100	100	%																																														


Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: LINCOLN & DRANSFELDT


Inlet ID: INLET B1 (BASIN RA5)



Gutter Geometry:						
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 5.0$ ft					
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.015$ ft/ft					
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.013$					
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches					
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 18.5$ ft					
Gutter Width	$W = 2.00$ ft					
Street Transverse Slope	$S_X = 0.020$ ft/ft					
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft					
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_0 = 0.000$ ft/ft					
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.016$					
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td rowspan="2" style="text-align: right; vertical-align: middle;">ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$T_{MAX} = 18.5$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18.5</td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm	ft	$T_{MAX} = 18.5$	18.5
Minor Storm	Major Storm	ft				
$T_{MAX} = 18.5$	18.5					
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td rowspan="2" style="text-align: right; vertical-align: middle;">inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$d_{MAX} = 6.0$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12.0</td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm	inches	$d_{MAX} = 6.0$	12.0
Minor Storm	Major Storm	inches				
$d_{MAX} = 6.0$	12.0					
Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>					
MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion						
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion						
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Minor Storm</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Major Storm</td> <td rowspan="2" style="text-align: right; vertical-align: middle;">cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">$Q_{allow} = \text{SUMP}$</td> <td style="text-align: center;">SUMP</td> </tr> </table>	Minor Storm	Major Storm	cfs	$Q_{allow} = \text{SUMP}$	SUMP
Minor Storm	Major Storm	cfs				
$Q_{allow} = \text{SUMP}$	SUMP					

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	3	3	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	6.0	6.0	inches
Grate Information			
Length of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			
Depth for Grate Midwidth	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	0.33	0.33	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	0.56	0.56	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	0.78	0.78	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	13.2	13.2	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>0 PEAK)	0.1	0.1	cfs

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)




Design Information (Input) Type of Inlet: CDOT Type R Curb Opening Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a') Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening) Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width) Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5) Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Type =</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">CDOT Type R Curb Opening</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>a_{LOCAL} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.0</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>L_o =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.00</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W_o =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C_{r-G} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>C_{-C} =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">MINOR</th> <th style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Q =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q_b =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.0</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C% =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td>%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		MINOR	MAJOR		Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches	No =	1	1		L _o =	5.00	5.00	ft	W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft	C _{r-G} =	N/A	N/A		C _{-C} =	0.10	0.10			MINOR	MAJOR		Q =	0.0	0.0	cfs	Q_b =	0.0	0.0	cfs	C% =	100	100	%
	MINOR	MAJOR																																															
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening																																																
a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0	inches																																														
No =	1	1																																															
L _o =	5.00	5.00	ft																																														
W _o =	N/A	N/A	ft																																														
C _{r-G} =	N/A	N/A																																															
C _{-C} =	0.10	0.10																																															
	MINOR	MAJOR																																															
Q =	0.0	0.0	cfs																																														
Q_b =	0.0	0.0	cfs																																														
C% =	100	100	%																																														

Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.01 (April 2021)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	5.00	5.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	0.10	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q = 0.0	Q = 0.0	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _b = 0.0	Q _b = 0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _i /Q _s =	C% = 100	C% = 100	%

